ABSTRACT

Palmaris longus is a dispensable muscle with a long tendon which is very useful in reconstructive surgery. It is absent 2.8 to 24% of the population depending on the race/ethnicity studied. Four hundred and fifty healthy subjects (equally distributed among Malaysia’s 3 major ethnic groups) were clinically examined for the presence or absence of palmaris longus. This tendon was found to be absent unilaterally in 6.4% of study subjects, and bilaterally in 2.9% of study participants. Malays have a high prevalence of palmaris longus absence at 11.3% followed closely by Indians at 10.7% whilst Chinese had a low absence rate of 6.0%.
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INTRODUCTION

Palmaris longus muscle is located between flexor carpi radialis and flexor carpi ulnaris muscles. It is slender, fusiform in shape and arises from the common flexor origin around the medial epicondyle. It ends as a slender, flattened tendon passing superficially over the transverse carpal ligament and inserting into the palmar aponeurosis.

The action of palmaris longus is to weakly flex the wrist and tense the palmar aponeurosis, synergised by flexor carpi radialis, flexor carpi ulnaris and flexor digitorum superficialis. The presence of palmaris longus is readily demonstrated by Schaeffer’s test where the wrist is slightly flexed with the thumb and little finger opposed (Fig 1). It is supplied by the median nerve. Palmaris longus is considered to be a dispensable tendon because its absence does not significantly affect the function of the wrist. It is therefore very useful in orthopaedic, hand and reconstructive surgery. It is commonly used by hand surgeons for tendon transfers, second stage tendon reconstruction, pulley reconstruction as well as tendon grafts. Plastic surgeons also utilise the palmaris longus in restoration of lip and chin defects.

Most standard textbooks of hand surgery quote the prevalence of absence of palmaris longus at around 15%. However, this figure varies considerably in different ethnic groups. A study by Thompson et al on 300 Caucasian subjects found that palmaris longus was absent unilaterally in 16%, and bilaterally in 9% of the study sample for an overall prevalence of absence of 24%. Similarly, George noted on 276 cadavers of European descent that its absence was 13% unilaterally, 8.7% bilaterally for an overall absence of 15.2%. Another cadaveric study by Vanderhoof in Seattle, USA reported its overall absence to be 12%. Sebastin et al recently studied 329 Chinese subjects in Singapore and found that palmaris longus is absent unilaterally in 3.3%, bilaterally in 1.2% with an overall absence of 4.6%. This revealed a much lower prevalence of absence in Chinese subjects as compared to Caucasians.

Malaysia has a multiracial population comprises of 3 major ethnic groups: Malays (60%), Chinese (30%) and Indians (10%). Although all of these ethnic groups are considered Asian, the Malays originated from Polynesian or Melanesian stock, the Chinese from mainland China whilst the Indians from the Indian subcontinent. The aim of this study is to determine the rate of absence of palmaris longus and to compare its distribution amongst the races in Malaysia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross sectional study that involved 450 healthy subjects seen at our institution. Since there are 3 main races and 2 genders, we estimated that a minimum of 50 patients will be required in each group to provide significant comparison. We therefore recruited 75 subjects in each arm of this study. We excluded subjects with physically disabilities (including those resulting from trauma, be it spine, lower or upper limb), any prior surgery (to upper or lower limbs) and any upper limb injuries.

Each subject was asked to complete a general identification questionnaire and examined for the palmaris longus. This is achieved by clinical inspection of the volar aspect of the
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Table I: Age groups of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>11-20</th>
<th>21-30</th>
<th>31-40</th>
<th>41-50</th>
<th>51-60</th>
<th>61-70</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Frequency of Absence of Palmaris Longus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absence</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>29 (6.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>13 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42 (9.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: Frequency of Absence of Palmaris Longus among ethnic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Present bilaterally</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>133 (88.7%)</td>
<td>12 (8.0%)</td>
<td>150 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>141 (94.0%)</td>
<td>7 (4.7%)</td>
<td>150 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>134 (89.3%)</td>
<td>10 (6.7%)</td>
<td>150 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV: Frequency of Absence of Palmaris Longus between genders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Present bilaterally</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>209 (92.9%)</td>
<td>13 (5.8%)</td>
<td>225 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>199 (88.5%)</td>
<td>16 (7.1%)</td>
<td>225 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Schaeffer’s test demonstrating the presence of palmaris longus.

wrist and by performing Schaeffer’s test (slight wrist flexion
with thumb and little finger opposed).

The associations between absence of palmaris longus and
ethnic group, gender and body side were assessed using chi-
square tests using a statistical significance of \( p<0.05 \).

RESULTS

Of the 450 subjects participated in this study, exactly half
were male and half were female. The subjects were also
equally divided between the 3 major races in Malaysia: the
Malays, Chinese and Indians with each race comprising 150
subjects (33.3%). Most of the subjects were between 21 and
30 years old. (Table I).

Palmaris longus was found to be absent unilaterally in 29
(6.4%), bilaterally in 13 (2.9%), with an overall absence in
42 subjects (9.3%) (Table II). Amongst the races, Malays
had the highest percentage of palmaris longus absence
(11.3%), followed by the Indians at 10.7% and finally, the
Chinese at 6.0% (Fig. 2). Detailed results and chi-square
values are presented in Table III.

Fig. 2: Absence of palmaris longus amongst the multi-racial
Malaysian population.
DISCUSSION

It is clear that prevalence of absence of palmaris longus varies depending on the populations. Caucasians have a high rate of absence which is between 12-24%6-8 whilst Singaporean Chinese have a low rate of absence (4.6%)9. In another study of a Chinese population by Wagenseil the figure was cited as 2.8% while Amazon Indians were noted to have a 3.7% absence of palmaris longus10.

Our study revealed varying figures for each ethnic group. The Malays have a prevalence of palmaris longus absence of 11.3% followed by the Indians at 10.7% and the Chinese at 6.0%. Lower rate in Chinese population corresponded with other studies9,10. However, the differences were not statistically significant (p=0.224). We found that in total, as a multiracial population, the overall absence in Malaysians of palmaris longus is 9.3%. It is interesting to note that most reports in literature quote a figure of about 15% which is more akin to Caucasian values3-5.

Numerous studies indicated that absence of palmaris longus is more common in women and on the left side, although the differences were usually not significant when analyzed statistically6-11. Our study showed similar findings and again the differences were not significant.

Patients who do not have a palmaris longus may need to use the plantaris as an alternative donor graft. Based on studies conducted in Caucasian population, there was no relationship or association between the absence of these two muscles6,7.

CONCLUSION

In Malaysia, palmaris longus is absent unilaterally in 6.4% and bilaterally in 2.9% of its population with an overall absence of 9.3%. The rate is lower than Caucasians which varies from 12-24%. Malays had a prevalence of palmaris longus absence at 11.3% followed closely by the Indians at 10.7% whilst the Chinese had the lowest absence rate of 6.0%. The palmaris longus was found to be absent three times more frequently on the left than the right and slightly more frequently in females than males.
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